1	JOAQUIN G. AVILA – 56484		
2	18336 Aurora Avenue North Suite 112 M.B.	148	
2	Shoreline, washington 98133-4326		
3	11 -		
1	Facsimile: (206) 398-4036		
4	Email: avilaj@seattleu.edu		
5	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 96891		
6	GAY CROSTHWAIT GRUNFELD – 121944		
7	BLAKE THOMPSON – 255600 ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP		
0	315 Montgomery Street, 10th Floor		
8	San Francisco, California 94104-1823		
9	Telephone: (415) 433-6830		
10	Facsimile: (415) 433-7104		
10	Email: mbien@rbg-law.com		
11	ggrunfeld@rbg-law.com		
12	bthompson@rbg-law.com		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARIA BUELL,		
	ANTONIO ALVARO MORALES and		
14	GUADALUPE JOAN PEREZ		
15			
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18			
19	MARIA BUELL; ANTONIO ALVARO	Case No.	
20	MORALES; and GUADALUPE JOAN PEREZ,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY ANI	
21		INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
l	Plaintiffs,		
22		VOTING RIGHTS ACTION	
23	V.	THREE JUDGE COURT REQUESTED	
24	MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA; and		
25	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			
-0			

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CASE NO.

4

5 6

7

8 9

11 12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

2627

28

INTRODUCTION

1. This action is filed pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, as amended by Public Law 109-246, 120 Stat. 577, on July 27, 2006 (hereinafter cited as § 5 of the Voting Rights Act). This action seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to address the failure to obtain the necessary approval pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, for the adoption, approval, or implementation of a Proclamation (hereinafter cited as Proclamation) filed on April 27, 2010 in the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of California, and issued by the Governor of the State of California, Arnold Schwarzenegger, which proclaims and orders that a special election shall be conducted on August 17, 2010, to fill the vacancy in the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15. As a result of this Proclamation, the Secretary of State of the State of California has established an election timetable with a special primary election scheduled for June 22, 2010, and a special run-off election timetable with a special election scheduled for August 17, 2010. The special primary election and special election schedules ordered by the Proclamation and the implementation of such election schedules by Monterey County, California, result in a violation of § 5, since the requisite statutory approval has not been secured prior to the implementation of these changes in election dates. Plaintiffs seek a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction preventing the continued implementation of such election schedules unless and until the required approval pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, has been obtained.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(3) and (4) and 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant Monterey County resides in this district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the Northern District of California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

4. Assignment of this case to the San Jose division of this Court is appropriate under Civil L.R. 3-2(e) because this action arises in Monterey County.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs MARIA BUELL, ANTONIO ALVARO MORALES and GUADALUPE JOAN PEREZ, who reside in Monterey County, California, are citizens of the United States and are members of a language minority group and registered voters residing in California State Senate District 15.
- 6. Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA is a governmental entity organized pursuant to the laws of the State of California and is a political subdivision subject to the requirements of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
- 7. Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA is a governmental entity organized pursuant to the laws of the State of California. Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA is not a political subdivision subject to the requirements of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c. However, the Proclamation issued by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger constitutes a change affecting voting when Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, implements or seeks to administer the change. Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA is a necessary party for this Court to effectuate any temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief addressing any violation of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

FACTS

- 8. Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA, through the Office of the Governor of the State of California, Arnold Schwarzenegger, issued a Proclamation on April 27, 2010, which was filed in the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of California (hereinafter cited as "Secretary of State") on April 27, 2010.
- 9. The Proclamation proclaims and orders that a special election shall be conducted on August 17, 2010, to fill the vacancy in the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15. As a result of this Proclamation, the Secretary of State has established an election timetable with a special primary election scheduled for June 22, 2010, and a special run-off election timetable with a special election scheduled for August 17, 2010.
- 10. The special primary election and special election schedules ordered by the Proclamation and established by the Secretary of State will be implemented by Defendant

MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, in elections to fill the vacancy in the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15.

- 11. Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, conducts elections in Monterey County, California.
- 12. Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, is a covered jurisdiction under § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c and must secure approval or preclearance before enacting or seeking to administer any voting qualifications or prerequisites to voting, or standards, practices, or procedures with respect to voting that are different from those that were in force or effect on November 1, 1968, the date upon which § 5 coverage for Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, began. A voting qualification or prerequisite to voting, or standard, practice, or procedure subject to approval pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, is hereinafter referred to as a "change affecting voting."
- requirements of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act. A covered jurisdiction can secure § 5 preclearance from either the United States Attorney General or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Under the administrative proceeding before the United States Attorney General or the judicial proceeding seeking a declaratory judgment before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, the covered jurisdiction must demonstrate that the proposed change affecting voting does not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race or color, or membership in a language minority group. If the covered jurisdiction cannot meet its burden, the United States Attorney General will deny administrative preclearance and the United States District Court for the District of Columbia will not grant a declaratory judgment approving the change affecting voting. Absent preclearance pursuant to § 5, the change affecting voting cannot be implemented or enforced in any elections. 28 C.F.R. § 51.10.
- 14. Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, has and will be seeking to administer or implementing a change in the primary and general election dates for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15. According to the Proclamation issued by the

Governor of California, a special primary election will be held in Monterey County, California, on June 22, 2010 and a special run-off election on August 17, 2010, for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15. The regularly scheduled primary election and election for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 will be held on June 5, 2012 (primary election) and on November 6, 2012 (election). These changes in election dates for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 constitute changes affecting voting. These changes in election dates for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 constitute voting qualifications or prerequisites to voting, or standards, practices, or procedures with respect to voting different from those that were in force or effect on November 1, 1968. Under § 5, Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, must submit these changes affecting voting to the United States Attorney General or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for § 5 preclearance.

- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, will reduce the number of polling places in order to conduct the June 22, 2010, special primary election and the August 17, 2010, special election. These anticipated reductions in polling places constitute changes affecting voting. These anticipated reductions in polling places constitute voting qualifications or prerequisites to voting, or standards, practices, or procedures with respect to voting different from those that were in force or effect on November 1, 1968. Under § 5, Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, must submit these changes affecting voting to the United States Attorney General or the United States District for the District of Columbia for § 5 preclearance.
- 16. Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, has not secured the requisite determination pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act from either the United States Attorney General or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that the changes affecting voting implemented by Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA that are specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint, do not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group.

- 17. Notwithstanding the lack of preclearance as required by § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA has and will be seeking to administer or implementing the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint.
- 18. This Complaint alleges that the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint cannot be applied to any elections in Monterey County, California, for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 until such changes affecting voting have received administrative preclearance by the United States Attorney General or judicial preclearance by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act.
- 19. Plaintiffs seek an Order enjoining any elections conducted within Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 unless and until the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint have received the requisite preclearance under § 5 of the Voting Rights Act.

REQUEST TO CONVENE A THREE JUDGE COURT

20. Pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, the convening of a Three Judge Court is requested.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 21. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1 through 20 above and incorporate the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Plaintiffs allege that the failure of Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY,
 CALIFORNIA, to secure a determination pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C.
 § 1973c, from either the United States Attorney General or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint do not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language

minority group, constitutes a violation of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, and renders the adoption of these changes affecting voting legally unenforceable.

DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 23. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1 through 22 above and incorporate the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. This is also an action for declaratory, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief sought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 57 and 65. Plaintiffs seek a declaration that the failure of Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA to secure a determination from either the United States Attorney General or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) do not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group constitutes a violation of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, thereby making injunctive relief appropriate. Unless enjoined, Defendant MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, will continue to seek to administer, enforce, and otherwise implement legally unenforceable changes affecting the voting rights of language, racial, and ethnic minority groups residing in MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, in continued violation of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

BASIS FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF

25. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged herein, and this suit for declaratory and injunctive relief is their only means of securing adequate redress from the unlawful practices of Defendants MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA and the State of California. Plaintiffs will continue to suffer irreparable injury from the acts, policies, and practices of Defendant set forth herein unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that this Court enter judgment granting Plaintiffs:

- 1. A declaration that the Defendants' changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint constitute changes affecting voting within the meaning of § 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, and are legally unenforceable absent the requisite § 5 preclearance;
- 2. A permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and successors in office and all other persons in active concert and participation with them from any further implementation of the changes affecting voting that are specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint unless and until said changes affecting voting are precleared pursuant to § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c;
- 3. An Order enjoining any elections conducted in Monterey County for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 and/or any certifications or other actions to finalize the results of said elections until the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint have received the requisite preclearance under § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c;
- 4. In the event that any of the changes affecting voting specified in paragraphs
 Fourteen (14) and Fifteen (15) of this Complaint do not receive the requisite preclearance under § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, an Order enjoining any elections conducted in Monterey County, California for the Office of Senator from California State Senate District 15 and/or any certifications or other actions to finalize the results of said elections unless and until preclearance under § 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c is secured;
- 5. An Order granting Plaintiffs their costs of court, related non-taxable expenses, necessary litigation expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees to be adjudged against the Defendants as provided under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1973 1(e) and 1988;
- 6. An Order retaining jurisdiction to render such further and additional Orders as the Court may, from time to time, deem appropriate; and

	{	
1	7. An Order granting such other additional relief at law or in equity as may be	
2	deemed appropriate.	
3		
4	Dated: May 5, 2010	Respectfully submitted,
5		ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP
6		
7		By: Grosthwait Grunfeld
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARIA BUELL, ANTONIO ALVARO
10		MORALES and GUADALUPE JOAN PEREZ
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		8

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CASE NO.